#### **ED SMAER**

#### Sujet de thèses 2014

Laboratoire : ISIR (Institut des Systèmes intelligents et de Robotique) UMR 7222

Etablissement de rattachement : UPMC Université Pierre et Marie Curie

Directeur de thèse et section CNU ou CNRS: Prof. Véronique PERDEREAU, 61ème section

Codirection et section CNU ou CNRS : Prof. Frédéric MARIN, 60 eme section, UTC

Titre de la thèse : Manipulation collaborative homme-robot

Collaborations dans le cadre de la thèse : Biomécanique et Bioingénierie UMR CNRS 7338, Université

de Technologie de Compiègne

# Résumé du sujet

Les robots sont de plus en plus présents dans notre vie quotidienne, mais ils continuent d'être utilisés de façon très limitée dans des espaces industriels où aucune collaboration avec des opérateurs humains n'est autorisée pour des raisons de sécurité et de manque de flexibilité de ces systèmes. La recherche dans cette thèse a pour objectif de combler cette lacune dans les configurations industrielles actuelles en développant un système de travail collaboratif où un opérateur humain peut interagir avec un robot (bras robotique et main anthropomorphe) dans l'exécution de tâches de manipulation. Ce système aura deux objectifs principaux : éviter les blessures musculo-squelettiques dont sont victimes les travailleurs en raison du caractère répétitif et inconfortable des gestes et augmenter la productivité de la ligne de production en parallélisant le processus de fabrication entre l'humain et le robot grâce au partage de leurs espaces de travail. Cette interaction entre l'humain et le robot ne doit pas seulement être sûre et efficace, mais aussi naturelle de sorte que l'humain puisse comprendre le comportement du robot et qu'elle puisse être étendue à des applications d'assistance. Afin de mettre en œuvre un tel système d'interaction homme-robot, les tâches de manipulation effectuées par les humains seront d'abord observées afin de les paramétrer en termes d'efficacité (temps d'exécution), ergonomie (gestes confortables) et interaction (naturel). Ensuite, un modèle des tâches de manipulation humaines sera déduit afin de remplacer l'un des êtres humains par un robot, ce dernier pourra alors planifier ses mouvements afin qu'ils soient efficaces, confortables et naturels pour l'opérateur humain. Le système de commande du robot combinera des informations provenant de plusieurs capteurs (vision, force et tactile) pour connaître l'état de l'environnement et adapter les tâches de manipulation en conséquence. Ce système sera d'abord appliqué à des tâches d'échange, puis étendu à tâches plus compliquées de comanipulation d'objets complexes. Les solutions développées seront mises en œuvre sur la plateforme expérimentale du projet européen HANDLE.

#### Sujet développé

#### Introduction

Robots have become part of the society in assisting workspaces (Hirukawa 2007), medical environments (Hoekstra et al. 2009) and manufacturing but also as working devices in homes (e.g. lawnmower, vacuum). In a human workspace, handling loads with another human is a common practice to increase productivity and efficiently share the workload. Shared labor between humans and robots may change the collaboration and interaction possibilities during the work process. This project will tackle with the human-robot collaboration in object manipulation.

## State-of-the-art

The human-robot interaction is a very sophisticated challenge which has been approached in detail for robot-human handovers (Shibata 1995). The physical interaction between humans is a natural process, involving passing objects between people. The tactile sense (Johansson and Westling, 1984) and the characterization of haptic interaction in human-human handovers is well known (Chan et al., 2012). However, in robot-human handovers the settings change drastically and the robot has to be adapted to achieve the handover task obeying to safety regulations (Wesley et al. 2013) without permanent communication or readjustments of the robot. In co-shared workplaces with continuous human-robot interaction, robotic assistance and interactions have become relevant (Bischoff & Guhl, 2010). Humans in contrast to robots have the ability to adapt to specific task requirements while robots have the benefit of spatial precision, repeatability and the potential of applying specific forces. The general handover task is often described by a passer/receiver as initiating and leading the task (Huber et al. 2008; Mason & MacKenzie 2005). In order to pass an object, either the robot learns the trajectory from humans (Yamane et al. 2013) or plan it reactively according to the constraints and changes of the environment (Lawitzky et al. 2012). Also information about the object itself (e.g. physical and mechanical properties) is necessary (Wesley et al. 2013). Extreme positions during the handover are usually avoided and the robots workspace is usually limited to avoid interferences. To improve movement fluency, an overlap in the movements of the involved participants occurs (Prada, 2013) and natural reaching gestures have to been performed (Edsinger & Kemp, 2007) in order to correctly identify the moments of object transferring. To achieve robothuman handovers, the robot has to track and localize (Corrales et al. 2010; Corrales et al. 2011) the human to guarantee its safety (Corrales et al. 2013) and avoid collisions (Haddadin, 2009). The robot optimizes objective cost functions that take safety (Mainprice & Berenson, 2013) and comfort parameters into account (Aleotti et al. 2012) but also avoid robot-human misunderstandings and possible injuries (Dehais et al. 2011) and maximize visibility of the object (Sisbot & Alami 2012). When the robot hands an object as a hammer to a human, the hammer has to be delivered in a comfortable way by orienting the handle towards the human torso (Aloetti et al. 2012). This becomes even more important when the object itself may cause injuries as e.g. a knife (Wesley et al. 2013). Especially the interaction between robots and human operators during handover tasks is a key issue in manufacturing to reduce costs, increase efficiency and improve the flexibility of the human-robot workplace. Based on the abovementioned facts the biomechanical and physiological studies of the robot-human interaction is of utterly importance to improve and judge the efficiency of co-shared workplaces in manufacturing. Biomechanical models are necessary to capture the mechanical dynamics of the musculoskeletal system (Vignais & Marin, 2011), during the interaction with robots to evaluate the safety and arduousness of the co-working task (Vignais et al, 2013).

### References

Aleotti, J. Micelli, V. Caselli, S.. Comfortable Robot to Human Object Hand-Over. 2012 IEEE RO-MAN: The 21st IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication. September 9-13, 2012. Paris, France.

Bischoff, R. and Guhl, T. The strategic research agenda for robotics in europe [industrial activities]. Robotics Automation Magazine, IEEE, 17(1):15–16, 2010.

Chan WP, Parker CAC, Van der Loos, HFM, et al. (2012) Grip forces and load forces in handovers: implications for designing human–robot handover controllers. In Proceedings of the 7th ACM/IEEE international conference on human–robot interaction, pp.9–16.

Corrales, J.A., Candelas, F.A., Torres, F. (2010) Sensor data integration for indoor human tracking. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 58(8), 931-939.

Corrales, J.A., Candelas, F. A., Torres, F. (2011) Safehuman-robot interaction based on dynamic sphereswept line bounding volumes. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 27(1), 177-185.

Corrales, J.A., Torres, F., Perdereau V. Finger Readjustment Algorithm for Object Manipulation Based on Tactile Information Int J Adv Robotic Sy, 2013, Vol. 10, 9:2013.

Dehais, F., Sisbot, E.A., Alami, R., Causse, M. Physiological and subjective evaluation of a human-robot object hand-over task Applied Ergonomics 42 (2011) 785-791

Endsinger, A., Kemp, C. C., Human-Robot Interaction for Cooperative Manipulation: Handing Objects to One Another. 16<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication, August 26-29, 2007, Jeju, Korea.

Haddadin, S., Suppa, M.,, Fuchs, S., Bodenmüller, T., Albu-Schäffer A., Hirzinger, G.. Towards the Robotic Co-Worker. 2009, Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics DLR e.V. - German Aerospace Center P.O. Box 1116, D-82230 Wessling, Germany

Hoekstra, V. Morgan, J. M. Lurain, J. R. et al. Robotic surgery in gynecologic oncology: impact on fellowship training [J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2009, 114(2): 168-172.

Hirukawa. H. Walking biped humanoids that perform manual labour [J]. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 2007, 365(365): 65-77.

Huber, M. Rickert, M., Knoll, A., Brandt, T., Glasauer, S.. Human-Robot Interaction in Handing-Over Tasks. In IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication, pages 107–112, 2008.

Johansson RS and Westling G (1984) Roles of glabrous skin receptors and sensorimotor memory in automatic control of precision grip when lifting rougher or more slippery objects. Experimental Brain Research 56(3): 550–564.Mason A. H. and MacKenzie C. L.. Grip forces when passing an object to a partner. Experimental Brain Research, 163:173–187, 2005.

Lawitzky, M., Medina, J. R., Lee, D., Hirche, S. Feedback Motion Planning and Learning from Demonstration in Physical Robotic Assistance: Differences and Synergies. 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) October 7-12, Vilamoura, Portugal.

Mainprice, J., Berenson, D., Human-Robot Collaborative Manipulation Planning Using Early Prediction of Human Motion. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), November, 2013.

Prada, M., Remazeilles, A., Koene, A. Endo, S..Dynamic Movement Primitives for Human-Robot interaction:comparison with human behavioral observation 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) November 3-7, 2013. Tokyo, Japan

Sisbot, E. A., Alami, A. A Human-Aware Manipulation Planner, IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 28 (5), 1045-1057, 2012.

Shibata, S. Tanaka K. Shimizu A.. Experimental analysis of handing over. In IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Communication, pages 53–58, Tokyo, Japan, 1995.

Vignais, N. Miezal, M. Bleser, G. Mura, K., Gorecky, D. Marin, F.. Innovative system for real-time ergonomic feedback in industrial manufacturing. Applied ergonomics 44 (4), 566-574, 2013

Vignais, N.Marin, F. Modelling the musculoskeletal system of the hand and forearm for ergonomic applications. Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering 14 (sup1), 75-76, 2011.

Wesley P. Chan, Iori Kumagai, Shunichi Nozawa, Yohei Kakiuchi, Kei Okada, Masayuki Inaba Creating Socially Acceptable Robots: Leaning Grasp Configurations for Object Handovers from Demonstrations\* 2013 IEEE Workshop on Advanced Robotics and its Social Impacts (ARSO) Shibaura Institute of Technology, Tokyo, JAPAN November 7-9, 2013

Yamane, K., Revfi, M., Asfour, T., Synthesizing Object Receiving Motions of Humanoid Robots with Human Motion Dabase. 2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 1629-1636, Karlsruhe, Germany, 2013.

#### **Research personnel**

UTC: Prof. Frédéric Marin, Dr. Clint Hansen, Dr. Khalil Ben Mansour UPMC: Prof. Véronique Perdereau, Dr. Juan Antonio Corrales

### **Research facility**

UTC: The kinematic and dynamic analysis platform for human joints, to characterize and model bio-, and mechanical systems.

UPMC: The HANDLE platform composed of: a pneumatic robotic arm with 4 dof, an antrophomorphic Shadow robotic hand with 20 dof and a Kinect camera.

# Research task

The main objective of the research developed in this project will be establishing the basis needed for developing a co-working system where humans and robots can collaborate in order to perform manipulation tasks in a natural, comfortable and optimal way. These collaboration tasks could be applied in manufacturing environments not only to reduce the possible muscle-skeletal damages that current workers suffer due to repetition of uncomfortable manipulation tasks but also to improve the productivity of the system when the robot becomes an assistant for the human so that more tasks can be done in a parallel way. Nevertheless, this collaborative system could also be applied in assistance applications where the robot helps elderly or disabled people for performing manipulation tasks.

In order to develop this final goal, the research will be divided into two phases:

- 1. Modeling and parametrization of human manipulation tasks: First of all, we will model the way humans perform collaborative manipulation tasks in order to replicate this with the robot. We will record a set of manipulation tasks performed by two people with the equipment from UTC. Then, we will extract automatically from the recorded data two types of information: i) parameters which define the ergonomics of the task according to a muscle-skeletal model of the human and ii) parameters which define the actions required to perform the task (types of grasps, transitions between these grasps, trajectories of the arms, relative pose of the object, etc.). Finally, each execution of the task will be labeled with weights which represent the cost of performing this task in terms of efficiency (time to complete the task) and ergonomics (comfort of the human while doing the task). Therefore, we will generate a database that will not only contain different ways to perform the same manipulation task but also its associated costs. In addition, this database will store the cues that are required to switch from one action to another during the interaction (for example, changing the gaze direction from the object to the human in order to show that the object is going to be transferred in a hand-over action).
- 2. Online robot planning for natural and efficient human-robot collaboration: After the way for executing a manipulation task has been analyzed and learned from two humans in the previous step, one of this human will be substituted by an assistant robot. A novel planner will use the information recorded in the previous database in order to control the movements of the robot in such a way that the robot behaves in an efficient and comfortable manner. In fact, the planner will choose the sequence of actions which corresponds to the best balance between finishing the task efficiently (this means reducing the final execution time by increasing the number of actions which can be performed in parallel by the robot and the human) and performing it in a comfortable way for the human (this means reducing the injuries for the human due to long repetitions of the same task). To do this, the planner will use the costs stored in the database and choose the action sequence which minimizes both costs according to the current state of the environment: pose of the human including

his upper limbs, pose of the robotic system - hand + arm - and pose of the object to be manipulated. Obviously, the robotic system will not replay the exact movements stored in the database but will use them only as a template that is adapted to the real requirements of the current task: the grasp will be adapted to the size of the object, the trajectory of the arm will be changed in order to avoid collisions, the final desired position of the object will depend on the human hand's pose, etc. This adaptation will be executed in real time according to sensor data (vision, force and tactile sensors). The task cost (combination of efficiency and ergonomics) will be permanently updated, meaning that the next actions of the task may change if the current execution is no more the optimal one due to a change in the environment. Therefore, the planner will be reactive to changes that normally happen in cluttered environments with humans. In addition, the system will detect the transition conditions (changes of gaze direction, changes of hands/arms positions, etc.) identifying the changes between actions in order to stop the execution of the current action and start the following one in a natural and intuitive way for the human. Therefore, a real natural and unobtrusive collaboration will be achieved.

This co-working system will initially be developed for handing-over tasks of simple objects. But later, it will be extended to more sophisticated tasks which require real co-manipulation of the same object by the human and the robot and to objects that have specific parts or configurations which are required to accomplish the task (such as handles to hold cups or keeping upwards opened bottles in order to avoid accidental pouring).

# **Recent UTC Hand Biomechanics related publications**

Surface EMG-force modelling for the biceps brachii and its experimental evaluation during isometric isotonic contractions, H Cao, S Boudaoud, F Marin, C Marque, Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering, 1-10, 2014.

Innovative system for real-time ergonomic feedback in industrial manufacturing, N Vignais, M Miezal, G Bleser, K Mura, D Gorecky, F Marin, Applied ergonomics 44 (4), 566-574, 2013.

Modelling the musculoskeletal system of the hand and forearm for ergonomic applications, N Vignais, F Marin, Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering 14 (sup1), 75-76, 2011.

Morphogenesis of the human palma arch using three-dimensional geometric modeling, S Durand, F Marin, C Oberlin, MC Ho Ba Tho, Clinical Anatomy 24 (7), 874-879, 2011.

### **Recent UPMC-ISIR related publications**

Finger Readjustment Algorithm for Object Manipulation based on Tactile Information, J. A. Corrales, F. Torres, V. Perdereau, International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems, 10 (9), 1-9, 2013.

Multi-fingered Robotic Hand Planner for Object Reconfiguration through a Rolling Contact Evolution Model, J. A. Corrales, V. Perdereau, F. Torres, IEEE ICRA 2013.

Modeling and Planning High-Level In-Hand Manipulation Actions from Human Knowledge and Active Learning from Demonstration, U. Prieur, V. Perdereau, A. Bernadino, IEEE IROS 2012.

A Generic Motion Planner for Robot Multi-fingered Manipulation, J. P. Saut, A. Sahbani, V. Perdereau, Advanced Robotics, 25 (1-2), 23-4, 2011.

Arm-Hand Movement: Imitation of Human Natural Gestures with Tenodesis Effect, K. C. Ngugyen, V. Perdereau, IEEE IROS 2011.